

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NAME OF CORPORATION: Oregon State Flying Club

Date: February 19, 2009

Time: 5:40 pm

Place: MU 105

Directors Attending: Anderson, Lindner, Cintron, Foster, McMurphy, Zhang, and Root

Visitors: Captain Satterfield, Logan Strud

Directors Absent: Danforth, Schlipf, Fenton

Notice Given (if any):

A sufficient number of Directors were present to constitute a quorum.

Agenda Item: Approval of minutes

Decision: A motion was made to approve the January minutes. Approved with 4 in favor 2 abstained.

Agenda Item: Visitor

Discussion: A captain recruiter from the Marines came and talked about different types of military aircrafts out there and what some of the options are for students who are interested in joining aviation. After the presentation, as a board, we talked about the possibility of him coming to the open house in the spring and also maybe talking about presenting at the all-member meeting. We provided him with the dates of those events and left it up to him to contact us in the future.

Decision: Discussion only.

Routine Reports

Agenda Item: Maintenance

Discussion: The bill was light this month, the maintenance cycles are up to date, with some bigger maintenance coming up soon. 75704 is likely to come up to an engine overhaul about mid-year 2009. Otherwise there are no major concerns dealing with the maintenance of the fleet. The transponder in 89439 is beyond repair, a replacement will be purchased.

Decision: Discussion only.

Agenda Item: Flight Operations

Discussion: The tach-sheets (did we say that?) and checklists are disappearing and we need to remind the members to leave them in the planes (newsletter). Also, it was brought up about new members and scheduling and making sure that they are up to speed with the many processes associated with that (newsletter). A discussion about flight operations being busy ensued, but nothing further transpired.

Decision: Discussion only.

Discussion: It was proposed that the members who overflow the 100 hr be locked out by the director of flight operations and that they should be required to contact Parrish about the circumstances of their overflying and that he should be the one that deems whether they should be unlocked.

Decision: motion made to approve this proposal. Motion carried unanimously

Agenda Item: Treasury

Discussion/Decisions: We have an ever growing financial no-fly list, and there was discussion about how we might approach that. In fact, there is nearly 7000 dollars in this category. We also talked about establishing an interest component to outstanding debt, but made no decisions beyond the simple discussion.

The P&L was presented to the Board and we talked about some big fuel purchases that will be showing up this month and overall budgetary processes looking fairly normal compared to previous years.

Agenda Item: Web and Database

Discussion: nothing was reported

Agenda Item: Promotional Activities

Discussion: Rajeev Pandey was supposed to be here for the meeting to talk about the t-shirts but we will catch him another time. Also the upcoming newsletter is fast-approaching and there were some suggestions made about what should be included in that newsletter. Open house coming up on the 3rd of May for Mom's weekend.

Decision: discussion only

Agenda Item: Student Club

Discussion: We talked about the ground school class being a initial failure and some of the reasons associated with that. CAS has ground school at least once a month and students can still attend there. Marcos and Robert will be done in March and so brief discussion was made regarding filling their places.

Decision: discussion only

Agenda Item: Secretary Report

Discussion: nothing to report

Agenda Item: President's Report

Discussion: Fuel talk was reported, and the President complimented the board for their discussion from the prior months meeting concerning 16ED.

Decision: discussion only

Old Business

Agenda Item: Upgrade of ED

Discussion: We talked about the potential 172RG swap with CAS because their plane has lower airframe time but a much higher engine time. CAS has the comparative advantage in maintenance and therefore is potentially willing to do the transaction. A serious downfall of swapping planes would be still relying on the expensive parts of outdated 172RG models. The board suggested looking further into this CAS plane through those that have frequently flown it. The question was posed, are there any alternatives to this CAS swap plan? We went around the room and each person shared their stance on what we should do. Some common themes of the CAS swap included: looking into it to explore further options, being skeptical but considering it important, both planes the same and it is essentially a wash, trading for the same thing, looking around the area for other complex planes could be a solid option to consider. It was argued that the CAS plane looks more put together, although it does need a paint job. It was concluded that looking into the swap needs to be a board-wide thing.

Decision: discussion only

Meeting adjourned at 7:28 pm